

Name - Bambam Yadav

Mail Id -

Essay Topic - 'an eye for an eye makes whole world blind'

Feedback

Dear Bambam,

your handwriting is legible. choice of words and sentence formation is good. However from a broader perspective your essay makes an abstract reading. you have given statements but statements have to be backed by examples. Hence you should open a paragraph with a statement and then substantiate it.

Also, cohesion is missing between paragraphs. you have given far too emphasis on a particular issue.

Instead of using 'X' or 'Y', use some real names, that way the essay will feel real.

you have very good understanding, however they are disjointed hence abrupt beginning and ending is found in the essay.

If you would have used what 'eye for an eye' means for a common person, a woman, a person in authority and working professional could have added richness.

~~eg.~~ Examples of commercialism, terrorism, asymmetrical warfare, naxalism could have been used.

Also avoid writing in point wise as it hampers the essence of essay.

You have good chance of improvement & scoring decent marks in essay. All you need is little more practice. So give 20/30 mins to create a framework and think a topic from multiple dimensions.

More practice & ^{little} guidance can do wonders for your essay.

—All the Best—

1. If women can succeed only by emulating men, then it would be a great loss.
- ✓ 2. "An eye for an eye will make the whole world blind"
3. We don't value what we get for free.
4. Right character of human being is reflected in his/her decisions.

(2) An Eye for an Eye will make the Whole World blind

————— X —————
—————

Our history is full of examples containing different sources and information of eye for an eye. Yet, the world continues to sustain. From ancient to medieval and now in modern time, this concept is ~~not~~ easily traceable in our socio-cultural, political, economic and religious relations. In simple way, 'eye for an eye' gets own place in our personal and social relation. However, this does not mean that this concept was easily accepted and practiced by person and state. The rational channel and humanistic model raised several questions and produced better alternatives rather to accept applicability of this viewpoints. The efforts of rational schools made possible existence of ~~not~~ world despite having threats from upholders of 'eye-for-eye'.

Every person lives around two interdependent spheres. The first, is own 'self' and other is 'social'. Both spheres are greatly interactive to each other and also they influence ~~to~~ ^{each other}.

While they interact they take care of social norms and value, and this make every social order more functional. But it does not mean that every people accepts rules, regulations, laws, norms, customs etc. Many of them challenges the functionality and applicability while some other breaks ~~these~~ ingredients of social order. Breaking social norms and values makes society dysfunction, ~~and~~ ^{to} counter such forces, society and political organisation makes rules and regulations which act as a means of deterrent. But many times, deterrents are unreasonably applied, and more barbaric and savage means are given priority. This finally led to deconstruction of social order. This can be better understood by following example;

"In a justice system, when a rapist is punished by giving order to rape the rapist's sister/relative because ^{that} rapists ~~rape~~ has assaulted other's sister/relative."

In such a system, which is ~~more~~ akin to 'eye-for eye' fails to deliver justice rather advocates in destruction of dignity and bodily integrity of innocent girl.

This is why, this concept of justice is neither practicable nor acceptable because;

- We must punish offenders in relation to their offence only and rather to penalise other innocent persons.
- Such provision is against principle of humanity and rationality. As a human being we must think on better and effective method rather to choose barbaric concepts as a means to justice.
- Even in international relation this concept is not feasible. For example, if colonial countries make a group and plans to make ~~new~~^{former} colonialist countries ~~make~~^{make} of own colonies. In a simple way, if Japan wants to take revenge from US by using atomic bombs because this country has felt pain of nuclear bomb. Such kind of thinking or practice would escalate countries to ruin each other.
in present scenario.
- There are several other alternatives of 'eye for an eye' concept. The focus must on effectiveness of instrument rather on ^{its} application. This is why, mere its application would not bring abjectine of instruments.

Indeed, the concept of 'eye for an eye' ~~is~~ has potential to ruin both of our worlds. There are two worlds — Our Personal world where we expect a healthy and prosperous life and second one is external world which is result of political necessities. With application of 'eye-for-an-eye' we would have witness to ruin of personal as well as external world. We would simplify it with two examples. At first we will examine how our Personal world is ruined.

Suppose, a father of XI class is murdered by ^{one of} his neighbours due to some family feud. Now, ~~that~~ school going class XI student 'X' wants to murder one of his neighbours 'Z'. Due to extreme level of vengeance, ~~he~~ 'X' loses his inspiration to study hard and this deviate him from own dreams. One day he successfully murder 'Z'. But, the son of 'Z' who goes school become angry and wants to kill 'X' in wake of his father's murder.

In this example we can easily find that those who believe in 'eye for an eye' they lose own dreams and purposes in life. Finally, their world which they thought to give happiness is lost in their anger.

Now, let's examine how this concept ruins world. We would understand it with following examples;

India and Pakistan is an arch-rival. However, both countries^{are} still making ways to reach on conciliation. The talk process goes on. Meanwhile a pro-Pakistani terrorist organisation launched attack on Indian soil. Better to quote recently occurred Pathankot case. In return if India too launches attack against Pakistan it would further escalate tension and eventually citizen of both countries would experience huge devastation. Further, any attempts to nuclear war destroy existence of both nations.

Historically, we have noted two world wars and hundreds of war fought on this earth. Behind all these wars the main cause was ~~to~~ faith in 'eye for an eye'. The outcome of every war brought immense mental and economical loss to every nation. It is generally a concept which takes away human's best capability e.g. rationality.

In Place of 'eye for an eye' the more rational, calculative and scientific means to deal with social evils, criminal activities and other law-breaking forces would make social order more progressive and dynamic. The violent instinct acts on impulse and brutally kills our creativity and understanding. In absence of creative and innovative forces, the destiny of world is marred with destruction, obstruction and elimination.

On conclusion, it can be said that there are numbers of social problems. To deal with these problems requires ~~more~~ a genuine understanding of circumstances and more rational approach to deal with. We as a human beings, have been awarded with uncommon gift from nature which teaches, trains and guides us to be more rational. The concept of 'eye for an eye' is based on irrationality and is an indication of surrendering rationality, innovation and creativity.

_____X_____